

A gentlewoman of the county of Madison, who authenticates his statement with his name, sends us the following note of correction with respect to the letter of Mr. Clay's which we published last Monday:

XV. April 2, 1860.

Friends. — Upon finding that I had charged, in a letter to the *Advertiser*, the Committee of Vigilance of Boston with the intention to assassinate him, I sent a wire to my lawyer so far as any such design, his nature or never been mentioned in a meeting of ours taken up by him that I know of, and many of those that body are present, and that he was, surely incapable of such a design as I have attributed to him.

It offends us since a gratification to know that the opinion we have all along entertained of this last affair at Boston is entirely correct. —*T. C. Clay.*

A question arises here, the full solution of which would be of great interest to us, as to whether Mr. Clay's was not himself hoodwinked.

It offends us since a gratification to know that the opinion we have all along entertained of this last affair at Boston is entirely correct. —*T. C. Clay.*

Boiling that good may come of it, we propose to answer this question in a few brief but free remarks. With the statements and counter-statements in relation to the affair, now before the public, we think it is not necessary to say more.

Mr. Clay, in his Appeal to the citizens of Marion county, which we published in our "Today's impression," frankly states the source of his information concerning the recent affair at Boston as follows:

"The Republicans of Boston say that their house was ruined by the efforts of the party, and I give you a copy of a letter written to me by Messrs. Hale & Blaine, stating the whole affair in detail. The letter is dated March 15, and signed by L. Blaine; and by H. Rawlings, can be seen by calling upon me."

—*March 15, 1860.*

Col. C. M. Clay. — I drag a few lines to you, relating the facts concerning the fight. In the first place, I am sorry to say, that the party did not treat George West well.

The Committee went to his house on the 1st instant, and told his daughter to shut the door, and she did.

He was a man of great force, the language of which District was too gross for the public ear, and I therefore suppress it; nor were these words uttered in any way that could offend.

Mr. Clay, however, specifies no further "outrage."

This, then, is the information or a part of the information on which Mr. Clay based his resolve to make common cause with Hale and Blaine and their associates. If Mr. Hale, was in no way a party to the language of the District, it is fair to presume that he received it from some source. Such, we say, is the testimony on which Mr. Clay arrived at the determination which he announces in his Appeal.

But the Committee impeach this testimony. They give or subscribe to be given on their behalf a formal affidavit to it. The following statement is made:

"On Monday, the 9th ult., a portion of the committee repaired to Boston for the purpose of securing the services of one of their number, and left, so far as I can learn, a man named Hale and one named Blaine, and the two others, Mr. West and myself, and three others who had made repeated threats of assassination, etc. A conversation ensued which was to the effect that they had been engaged with prostitutes of good and evil in both cities. When Hale and Blaine left, so far as I can learn, they came home like gentlemen. The Committee went to his house on the 1st instant, and told his daughter to shut the door, and she did.

He was a man of great force, the language of which District was too gross for the public ear, and I therefore suppress it; nor were these words uttered in any way that could offend.

Mr. Clay, however, specifies no further "outrage."

This, then, is the information or a part of the information on which Mr. Clay based his resolve to make common cause with Hale and Blaine and their associates. If Mr. Hale, was in no way a party to the language of the District, it is fair to presume that he received it from some source. Such, we say, is the testimony on which Mr. Clay arrived at the determination which he announces in his Appeal.

But the Committee impeach this testimony. They give or subscribe to be given on their behalf a formal affidavit to it. The following statement is made:

"On Monday, the 9th ult., a portion of the committee repaired to Boston for the purpose of securing the services of one of their number, and left, so far as I can learn, a man named Hale and one named Blaine, and the two others, Mr. West and myself, and three others who had made repeated threats of assassination, etc. A conversation ensued which was to the effect that they had been engaged with prostitutes of good and evil in both cities. When Hale and Blaine left, so far as I can learn, they came home like gentlemen. The Committee went to his house on the 1st instant, and told his daughter to shut the door, and she did.

He was a man of great force, the language of which District was too gross for the public ear, and I therefore suppress it; nor were these words uttered in any way that could offend.

Mr. Clay, however, specifies no further "outrage."

This, then, is the information or a part of the information on which Mr. Clay based his resolve to make common cause with Hale and Blaine and their associates. If Mr. Hale, was in no way a party to the language of the District, it is fair to presume that he received it from some source. Such, we say, is the testimony on which Mr. Clay arrived at the determination which he announces in his Appeal.

But the Committee impeach this testimony. They give or subscribe to be given on their behalf a formal affidavit to it. The following statement is made:

"On Monday, the 9th ult., a portion of the committee repaired to Boston for the purpose of securing the services of one of their number, and left, so far as I can learn, a man named Hale and one named Blaine, and the two others, Mr. West and myself, and three others who had made repeated threats of assassination, etc. A conversation ensued which was to the effect that they had been engaged with prostitutes of good and evil in both cities. When Hale and Blaine left, so far as I can learn, they came home like gentlemen. The Committee went to his house on the 1st instant, and told his daughter to shut the door, and she did.

He was a man of great force, the language of which District was too gross for the public ear, and I therefore suppress it; nor were these words uttered in any way that could offend.

Mr. Clay, however, specifies no further "outrage."

This, then, is the information or a part of the information on which Mr. Clay based his resolve to make common cause with Hale and Blaine and their associates. If Mr. Hale, was in no way a party to the language of the District, it is fair to presume that he received it from some source. Such, we say, is the testimony on which Mr. Clay arrived at the determination which he announces in his Appeal.

But the Committee impeach this testimony. They give or subscribe to be given on their behalf a formal affidavit to it. The following statement is made:

"On Monday, the 9th ult., a portion of the committee repaired to Boston for the purpose of securing the services of one of their number, and left, so far as I can learn, a man named Hale and one named Blaine, and the two others, Mr. West and myself, and three others who had made repeated threats of assassination, etc. A conversation ensued which was to the effect that they had been engaged with prostitutes of good and evil in both cities. When Hale and Blaine left, so far as I can learn, they came home like gentlemen. The Committee went to his house on the 1st instant, and told his daughter to shut the door, and she did.

He was a man of great force, the language of which District was too gross for the public ear, and I therefore suppress it; nor were these words uttered in any way that could offend.

Mr. Clay, however, specifies no further "outrage."

This, then, is the information or a part of the information on which Mr. Clay based his resolve to make common cause with Hale and Blaine and their associates. If Mr. Hale, was in no way a party to the language of the District, it is fair to presume that he received it from some source. Such, we say, is the testimony on which Mr. Clay arrived at the determination which he announces in his Appeal.

We have spoken thus plainly because we desire to point unmistakably the moral of the story. We have done so, not from the desire of being the author of the lesson, but that we may draw the attention of the public to the importance of property because of its value.

It is a community, under the pressure of a keen sense of self-preservation, performs an unlawful act, that is one thing, and a sufficiently bad one, to represent that they and their accomplices do not deserve it.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and their life, his life, he based himself upon them without voluntary lending himself to the execution of their designs.

He aviles it to his own character to be of them of prompt and utter; and we see that no false, distorted, dismembered motions of pride or pride will prevail him to do what he does.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.

It is another, that they and their accomplices do not deserve it, because of their conduct in their persons and property.</

THE HANDBOOK OF GREAT BRITAIN.
Comprising the speedy news of all the Events and
Adventures, presented by a thousand of undoubt-
ed talents in the city of Philadelphia, and entirely free
from meanness, is sold wholesale and retail,
by Day & Son, 74 Fourth street, at one dollar per
volume. The books are nearly double the size of any
other books composed before them.

MS. B. DODD & CO.

BROCHURES.—From C. H. Gaskins, prin-
cipal of the Rutgers Female Institute, N. Y.—“I
have been afflicted with bronchitis during the
last winter, but have now recovered.”—“Bronchial
Tuberculosis.”—“Bronchial Trachea.”—“Cough
Lozenges” are “far sale throughout the Uni-
ted States.”—A 30c. Vol., *Trachea*, & *Sputum*.

SPECIAL NOTICES.

“We would refer our readers to the advertisement
of Dr. Seward's Liver Invigorator and Cathartic Pill
another column.”

MARTYRED HEADS.

Blades of heads of hair are ruined by the use of
dyeing processes for which no scientific authority is re-
sponsible.

CHRISTADORO'S EXQUISITE DYED HAIR.

“It has been experienced by Dr. O'Brien, who stands
at the head of Exquisito Chemicals, and he states
that nothing improves colors better than his.

UNQUALIFIED APPROVAL.

According to the well-known fact that it is the most reliable
method of testing the quality of any article, we give you
a guarantee that no man can surpass him in this.

Sold everywhere and applied by all Hair Dressers.

MS. D. McADAMS' HAIR COLORING.

“We will refer our readers to the advertisement
of Dr. Seward's Liver Invigorator and Cathartic Pill
another column.”

11: DEATH!!!

To Every Form and Species of
VERMIN.

“Castor!”

“Castor's” Rat, Roach, &c., Ex-
terminator.

“Castor!”

“Castor's” Bed-bug Exterminator.

“Castor!”

“Castor's” Electric Powder, for In-
sects.

DESTROYS INSTANTLY.

“Castor's” Bed-bug Exterminator. Bed-bug
Killed—Moths—Flies—Insects on Plants—
Household Pests—Every Form of Vermin, every color and
size."

VERMIN.

“Vermin” established in New York City to be the City
Postoffice, the City Firehouse, and Station House—“The
New York Times,” “The New York Tribune,” “The
Daily News,” “The New York Evening Post,” and
“The New York Journal.”

“Castor's” Bed-bug Exterminator.

WEEKLY JOURNAL.

OUR ENLARGEMENT.—The Journal is presented to its friends and readers in an enlarged form, both in size and in matter. We have added two columns to its dimensions, and make an increase of one-sixth of its former size. We feel confident that this will enable us to meet the constantly increasing demands of the rapidly advancing business of Louisville, and at the same time allow us to present more variety of editorial and scholastic material. We will do our best to please you at the increased cost of this enlargement; but we trust our sincerity will be believed by those who assert that the expenses afforded by that necessity of the growing importance of our city and the prosperity of all its branches of industry are the most just and prudential. The attainment of that position which we have so often and every now and then asserted is a laudable and praiseworthy effort on the part of our citizens, and it becomes the editor's duty to guard against a reflux, and make the movement and industrial interests committed to his charge.

12th to the *Patriot*.

"When we return to our former course on the 25th, we shall be glad to receive your kind invitation."

The STAR-SEED.—At a meeting of an association recently held in Liverpool, it was stated, from official returns, that prior to 1854 the average export of sugar from Canada, New South Wales, and Tasmania, and now it hardly reaches thirty thousand tons. In Cuba the exports for the same period, from Havanna and Manila, had increased from eighty-eight thousand to one hundred and twenty thousand tons, and from Brazil the exports for the same period had increased from sixty-three thousand to one hundred and twenty thousand tons. Hayley, however, formerly exported a large quantity, now export none, and has been compelled to close his establishment. The supply from Mauritius and the East Indies is slowly increasing.

A MAN KILLED BY HIS SON.—Aled Pottor, a farmer by easy circumstances, and about 70 years old, was shot on Friday at his residence in Greenfield, Mass., by his son, Philander F. Pottor. The son had been sent to the United States to study law, and threatened to kill his father if it was not paid off. After the father had fallen down to sleep and the son had pistolled a double-barreled gun four times through the door, he shot him. The son had the father's side pistol, and a bullet that must result in a speedy death. The son attempted to escape but was arrested within half a mile of home.

The trial of the Kave steam engine place at Pittsburg, Saturday last, was delayed in the state of Ohio until Monday next. The weight of the engine is 4,000 lbs. She was drawn to the weight by twenty-five men. At a previous trial she had thrown a flywheel.

"Come, come, now," said Matty, firing up.

"You do, though—you're my property." I thought you were."

"Well, sir, you appear to be a good man."

"I'm sorry to see you are in trouble."

"I'm sorry to see you are in trouble."